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ABSTRACT: Most existing implementations of silicon nitride photonic crystal cavities rely on suspended membranes due to their low refractive index. Such floating membranes are not mechanically robust, making them suboptimal for developing a hybrid optoelectronic platform where new materials, such as layered 2D materials, are transferred onto prefabricated optical cavities. To address this issue, we design and fabricate a silicon nitride nanobeam resonator where the silicon nitride membrane is encapsulated by material with a refractive index of ~1.5, such as silicon dioxide or PMMA. The theoretically calculated quality factor of the cavities can be as large as 10^5, with a mode-volume of ~2.5(λ/n)^3. We fabricated the cavity and measured the transmission spectrum with the highest quality factor reaching 7000. We also successfully transferred monolayer tungsten diselenide on the encapsulated silicon nitride nanobeam and demonstrated coupling of the cavity with both the monolayer exciton and the defect emissions.
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Silicon nitride (SiN) offers several advantages over silicon for building photonic integrated circuits due to its large band gap. For instance, two-photon absorption in SiN is negligible at the telecommunication wavelengths. This allows operation at much higher optical power and with significantly lower loss compared to similar silicon devices. The thermooptic effect in SiN is also an order of magnitude smaller compared to silicon and could potentially provide a scalable integrated photonic platform that is far less susceptible to thermal fluctuations. However, a major problem with SiN is that its carrier densities cannot be modulated easily due to its large bandgap, resulting in a lack of active devices. This problem is worsened by the amorphous nature of SiN grown via plasma enhanced and low pressure chemical vapor deposition (PECVD and LPCVD), which makes integration of other active materials by epitaxial growth more difficult. For example, complex electro-optic oxides or quantum confined structures, which can be grown or wafer-bonded on silicon, cannot be integrated on SiN without compromising the material performance. In contrast, layered 2D materials including graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) can adhere to any substrate via van der Waals forces. This removes the explicit lattice matching requirement of epitaxial growth, making this class of materials promising for developing hybrid integrated SiN platform. Transition metal dichalcogenides have also been demonstrated on SiN micro-ring and disk resonators focused on whispering gallery modes. Photonic crystal (PhC) resonators are often employed to enhance photoluminescence (PL), second harmonic generation, and electroluminescence in layered materials have already been demonstrated using silicon and gallium phosphide PhC resonators. Unfortunately, the small refractive index of SiN (∼2) inhibits the opening of a complete band gap in two-dimensional photonic crystals for common 2D lattice geometries such as hexagonal and square lattices. This difficulty is the primary reason why many in the community use 1D photonic crystal nanobeam structures where bandgaps are more readily opened. The low refractive index of SiN also makes the design of SiN PhCs more difficult than the design of PhCs in materials with higher refractive index such as silicon. This is why all previously reported SiN PhCs are suspended, as air provides a greater index contrast than other common dielectrics such as SiO_2. The low index of SiN also exaggerates the
detrimental effects of asymmetries in the refractive index profile. For example, our numerical simulations suggest that a traditionally designed suspended SiN nanobeam with a quality factor of $\sim 3 \times 10^5$ completely loses its resonance when placed on an oxide substrate. The cavity mode can be recovered, albeit with significantly reduced quality factor ($\sim 1000$), when the SiN nanobeam is embedded in a material with refractive index of $\sim 1.5$. However, an outstanding challenge presented by floating membranes is its propensity to be damaged by common microfabrication techniques such as resist spinning for lithographic overlay. The popular dry transfer techniques for building van der Waals heterostructures can also easily destroy floating membranes (see Supporting Information). While one can realize hybrid devices without transferring the layered materials, as recently reported, it is difficult to integrate different 2D materials on the same photonic chip, and the resulting floating membranes still remain susceptible to damage from further fabrication steps. Finally, for rapid prototyping and initial characterization of the layered material clad cavities, the 2D materials must be removed using the same dry transfer methods used to initially deposit the material followed by vigorous sonication. Therefore, the mechanical stability of an encapsulated nanobeam is particularly attractive for a hybrid photonic platform.

In this article, we design and fabricate a SiN nanobeam cavity encapsulated inside a medium of refractive index $\sim 1.5$ (Figure 1) to address the need for mechanical stability in a hybrid photonic platform. The encapsulation provides the additional benefit of material passivation for long-term stability of less stable layered materials such as black phosphorus and MoTe$_2$. Via numerical simulations, we found that our designed cavity can reach a quality ($Q$) factor of $10^5$ and a mode volume of $\sim 2.5(\lambda/n)^3$. Experimentally, we measured a $Q$-factor of up to $\sim 7000$ in transmission (see Supporting Information). We also demonstrated integration of monolayer tungsten diselenide (WSe$_2$) with the nanobeam cavity and observed the cavity coupled PL. Our work presents a new way to build hybrid 2D material–cavity photonic circuits using low-mode-volume SiN resonators.

■ DESIGN OF THE RESONATOR

To design the nanobeam optical resonators, we first simulated the band structure of a SiN ($n \approx 2$) 1D photonic crystal with surrounding medium of $n \approx 1.47$ using the MIT photonic bands (MPB) software package. We utilized elliptical holes, following the example of a previously reported design. Figure 2a shows the resulting band diagram, which includes the air band, the dielectric band, and the field distributions at the band-edges. Once a suitable band structure was found we moved to tuning a lattice defect to create a resonator using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) solver from Lumerical, Inc. Specifically, we created the cavity by linearly tapering the major axis diameter of the holes and the period (Figure 2b) about the cavity center. We optimized our design parameters until we found a suitably high quality factor ($Q \approx 10^5$) resonance centered at 740 nm. In our design, the nanobeam had a thickness $t = 330$ nm and a width of $w = 450$ nm. The Bragg region consisted of 40 elliptical holes placed at a period of $a = 233$ nm. These elliptical holes have a major and minor diameter of 300 and 100 nm, respectively. The innermost elliptical holes have a major diameter of 100 nm and were separated by 140 nm. The resulting electromagnetic mode has a mode volume of $\sim 2.5(\lambda/n)^3$, a factor of five larger than previously reported floating SiN nanobeam resonators. However, to accommodate two grating couplers and their associated tapers within the field of view within our confocal microscope, the overall resonator size has to be reduced. To accomplish this, we reduced the number of Bragg periods to 20, resulting in a reduced quality factor of $\sim 15,000$.

■ NANOBEAM FABRICATION

We fabricated the nanobeam cavity using 330 nm thick SiN membrane grown via LPCVD on 4 μm of thermal oxide on silicon. The wafers are obtained from the commercial vendor Rogue Valley Microdevices. The refractive index of SiN is measured to be $n \approx 2$ using ellipsometry. We spun roughly 400 nm of Zeon ZEP520A, which was coated with a thin layer of Pt/Au that served as a charge dissipation layer. The resist was then patterned using a JEOL JBX6300FX with an accelerating voltage of 100 kV. The pattern was transferred to the SiN using a RIE etch in CHF$_3$/O$_2$ chemistry. Figure 3a shows the SEM of the fabricated SiN cavities on thermal oxide just after etching. To encapsulate the nanobeams, we spun poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) at 2000 rpm, resulting in a coat approximately 1 μm thick. The chips were then baked at 180 °C to remove any remaining solvent. PMMA and PECVD silicon dioxide have similar refractive indexes; however,
PMMA is preferable for encapsulating the nanobeam in our experiments because it can be easily removed without any risk to the SiN nanobeams.

**TRANSMISSION MEASUREMENTS**

We then measured the transmission spectra of the optical resonators using a supercontinuum light-source (WhiteLase Micro from Fianium, Inc.). The supercontinuum light was focused on one of the grating couplers, and the transmitted light was collected from the other grating and sent to a spectrometer (Princeton Instruments PIXIS CCD with an IsoPlane SCT-320 Imaging Spectrograph). The finest grating used in our experiment is 1200 mm\(^{-1}\) blazed for 750 nm with an estimated resolution of \(\sim0.06\) nm. A cross-polarized setup is used to reduce the background, and we collect both TE and TM polarizations from the output grating. Figure 3b shows an example spectrum where the photonic bandgap and the cavity resonance \((Q \approx 2000)\) are readily identifiable. Note that, as we did not have a tunable laser to measure the exact power transmission, we normalized the transmission using the transmission measured through a waveguide without any photonic crystal. To further confirm that the observed peak is indeed from a cavity, we measured several cavities with linearly scaled periods and major radii and observed the expected linear scaling of the cavity resonances (Figure 3c). The measured cavity Q factors fall within the 1500–7000 range. We attribute the one order of magnitude reduced quality factor in experiment compared to our simulations to fabrication imperfections, including nonuniformity of the hole sizes and side-wall roughness. We note that the cavities remain unaffected after removing, followed by respinning PMMA. We have tested the same chip through ten cycles of stripping and respinning of PMMA without observing any difference in the cavity spectra. We also extended our work to the telecommunication band, where we fabricated resonators with Q factors exceeding 10,000 (see Supporting Information).

**INTEGRATION WITH LAYERED MATERIALS**

After the initial characterization, we studied the layered material integration. Specifically, we chose to integrate monolayer WSe\(_2\)
on a cavity with resonances within the exciton (device 1, shown in Figure 4c) and defect (device 2, shown in Figure 4e) spectral windows. The quality factors of these cavities without any integrated layered materials were 2,800 and 1,600, respectively. We note that, while several cavities with Q factor around ∼7000 were measured (Supporting Information), they were not in the correct spectral window for integration with 2D materials or had low cavity transmission. The cavities were first stripped of the PMMA encapsulation and then flakes of monolayer WSe₂ were transferred on the SiN nanobeam cavities using the dry transfer method with a polycarbonate (PC) stamp. We note that to completely remove the encapsulating PMMA, we perform vigorous sonication, which will destroy any suspended membranes. However, our cavities remain unaffected as they remain in contact with the substrate, attesting to their mechanical stability. Removal of the PC polymer, usually done with a solvent such as dichloromethane, carries an inherent risk of accidentally removing the transferred material. We avoided this risk by leaving the PC as transferred and adding a layer of PMMA to ensure proper encapsulation. Figure 4a shows the SIM of the cavity before WSe₂ transfer, and Figure 4b shows the SEM of the cavity with transferred 2D material. The SEM in Figure 4b is in false color to highlight the position of the WSe₂ monolayer.

As expected, the integration of 2D materials modifies the observed transmission spectrum. Figure 4d, f shows the transmission spectra of devices 1 and 2 with integrated 2D materials, respectively. The cavity modes are highlighted for clarity. The integration of 2D material red shifts the cavity resonances by ∼25 and ∼15 nm for devices 1 and 2, as well as reducing the Q factors to 800 and 400, respectively. The line width broadening after 2D material integration is expected due to the absorption from 2D materials. Additional broadening is also expected because the PC and WSe₂ prevent PMMA from filling some of the holes in the nanobeam near the cavity region. Our numerical simulations show that the Q factor of the cavity with several air-filled holes at the cavity region is expected to be ∼2000. The change in the refractive index profile will also affect the resonance wavelength of the nanobeam resonator. However, air-filled holes near the cavity center would cause a blue shift, rather than the observed red shift. We attribute this red-shift to the inclusion of PC, which has a slightly higher refractive index of (n ∼ 1.57) than PMMA (n ∼ 1.47). This was affirmed by additional FDTD simulations (see Supporting Information). We also found that this change caused a new cavity mode to appear at a slightly lower wavelength than that of the original mode. The appearance of the new mode is consistent with our experimental findings (Figures 4d, f). This additional mode is TM polarized (see the mode profiles in Supporting Information). We attribute the appearance of the TM mode to the slightly higher refractive index of PC breaking the z-symmetry. To further validate that this new mode appears due to the encapsulation with PC, we measured several nanobeams with PMMA. These same cavities were then stripped of PMMA, encapsulated with PC, and remeasured. We observed the appearance of the new mode and red shift of the cavity (see Supporting Information).

Measured PL from the devices confirmed cavity coupling with the monolayers. We measured the devices at 80 K and excited the monolayers from the top with a 532 nm CW laser diode with power of 40 μW and beam spot with radius of 1 μm. The resulting PL is collected from the grating couplers and sent to a spectrometer. We note that we performed PL experiments on SiN devices with and without WSe₂ to confirm that the observed signal originates from WSe₂ and not the defect states in SiN. Figure 5a,b shows the resulting spectra for devices 1 and 2, respectively. The large background comes from the 2D material PL that leaks through the DBR mirrors. We observe significantly reduced PL background when collecting from the grating compared to when the PL is collected from the top of the cavity (see Supporting Information). By fitting a Lorentzian curve to the cavity peaks in the PL spectrum, we find line widths that correspond to a Q factor of 830 and 320 for device 1 and 2, respectively. These line widths agree with the line widths observed in transmission. Monolayer WSe₂ excitons have an in-plane dipole moment and thus interact primarily with TE cavity modes. Hence, we expect monolayer WSe₂ excitonic PL to couple to a TE mode but not to a TM mode. Indeed, the measured cavity coupled 2D material excitonic PL from device 2 (Figure 5b) confirms our understanding as no cavity coupling is observed with the higher energy TM mode, which still lies within the excitonic spectral range. However, in Figure 5a, we observe coupling of the defect states of WSe₂ with the TM cavity mode (device 1). The defect states of WSe₂ have been a topic of significant research effort in recent years and are particularly interesting due to their single-emitter-like properties at cryogenic temperatures. These defects are also known to have in-plane linearly polarized emission and hence should couple to the TE mode and not TM mode. We attribute the coupling with the TM mode to the warping of the monolayer around the SiN nanobeam (see Supporting Information). In that way, the defects still emit in the plane of the 2D materials but couple to the TM mode of the cavity. Such warping strains the monolayer, and strain-induced defect formation has been observed by several research groups in the past. Hence, there is a high likelihood that the defects are created due to warping of the monolayer and thus couple to the TM mode of the cavity.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated the operation of an encapsulated SiN nanobeam despite the low index contrast between the SiN, and the material it is encapsulated within. Encapsulation provides the desired mechanical stability, which is crucial to build a hybrid optoelectronic platform with emerging materials integrated on passive integrated photonic circuits. Such stability is absent in conventional floating membranes of SiN nanobeam resonators. Our analysis shows that such encapsulation results in a 5-fold increase of the mode-volume, but the Q factor of the cavity can still reach up to ∼10⁵. To demonstrate the efficacy of
the developed resonators for 2D material integration, we integrated our resonators with monolayer WSe2 and observed cavity coupled PL from both the 2D exciton and the defects embedded in the 2D materials. Going beyond layered 2D materials, we envision the encapsulated SiN nanobeam cavities as tools to develop hybrid large-scale photonic integrated circuits, with applications in optical information science and sensing.
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